IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HECTOR SANCHEZ LEYVA,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-05-0031 FCD GGH P

ORDER

VS.

ROBERT AYERS,

Respondent.

Petitioner is proceeding with appointed counsel on an application pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254. As noted in the court's order filed on December 12, 2005, petitioner pro se is apparently "dissatisfied" with his counsel. <u>See letters filed on 8/9/05 and on 10/26/05</u>. On December 5, 2005, petitioner's counsel filed a request for leave to withdraw as counsel due to "an irreconcilable difference between himself and the client." <u>See counsel's 12/05/05 request.</u>

In the court's December 12, 2005 order, the court also observed that prior to counsel's request, on November 3, 2005, respondent had filed a motion to dismiss. The undersigned, therefore, informed the parties that petitioner's counsel's request to withdraw would not be considered before counsel's (overdue) response to the motion was filed, for which response the court granted an extension of time. On December 31, 2005, counsel for petitioner filed a response to respondent's motion.

26 \\\\\

On January 30, 2006, petitioner, inter alia, filed a request for a new attorney. The pending motion to dismiss is now deemed submitted on the papers and counsel for petitioner's December 5, 2005 request to withdraw as counsel is granted based on the requests of both petitioner pro se and his counsel. However, petitioner's latest request, filed on January 30, 2006, for new counsel is denied without prejudice. Petitioner may renew a motion for appointment of counsel upon adjudication of the submitted motion to dismiss, if appropriate. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 3/7/06 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows **GREGORY G. HOLLOWS** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE GGH:009 leyv0031.wtd